

Are we overthinking AOPs?

Sabina Halappanavar, Ph.D. Research Scientist, Nanotoxicology and Microplastics Toxicology Laboratory, Health Canada

Adjunct Professor, Department of Biology University of Ottawa

Ottawa, Canada April 03, 2024





Adverse Outcome Pathways (AOPs)

- AOPs are modular constructs of knowledge
- They enable collection, organization and presentation of knowledge in a simplistic manner
- Individual AOPs reveal a single mechanism of toxicity



Molecular Initiating Event (MIE) is the initial interaction between toxicants and biomolecules

<u>Key Events (KE)</u> are measurable biological events that are essential for the progression of the pathway

► <u>Key Event Relationships (KER)</u> describe the causal relationship between two KEs characterised by:

• Incidence, dose and temporal concordance

 \underline{AO} is an endpoint of regulatory concern

Mechanism of Fibrosis – in Humans and in Animals

Thomas Wynn. Clin Invest. 2007;117(3):524–529.

- Initiation, progression and manifestation of any biological or toxicological event involves mechanisms of its own
- The AOPs help with
- Finding the most essential events, characterization of the events
- Establishing causality, essentiality, dose-response



Tissular Diversity of Fibrosis

Bhattacharya, et al., Nat Immunol 24, 1423–1433 (2023)

Fibrosis is the pathological outcome of an abnormal wound healing process



Mechanism of Fibrosis – in Humans and in Animals

Normal vs Fibrosis





AOP 33 Opportunities and Shortcomings

Opportunities

- Sorted information and aggregated knowledge
- A mechanism identified and linked to apical endpoints
- Conserved mechanism across species, across tissues
- Visualisation of gaps in knowledge direct research needs
- Laid foundation for the development of targeted test methods – (in vivo – in vitro translation)

Limitations

- Non-specific MIE, single MIE not enough
- Temporal relationship not captured but required for fibrosis
- Deals with defense mechanisms often are compensated

Critical next steps - immediate

- Identify in vitro surrogates (models, assays, techniques) of in vivo events
- Criteria for validation of AOP-driven assays and techniques

AOP 173/33 for nanomaterials



The goal







Boyadhziev et al., unpublished





Rahman et al., Mutagenesis. 2017 Jan;32(1):59-76





Finding relevant in vitro biomarkers/endpoints/assays



Gutierrez et al., *Part Fibre Toxicol* **20**, 4 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12989-023-00514-0



> Environ Mol Mutagen. 2011 Jul;52(6):425-39. doi: 10.1002/em.20639. Epub 2011 Jan 21.

Pulmonary response to surface-coated nanotitanium dioxide particles includes induction of acute phase response genes, inflammatory cascades, and changes in microRNAs: a toxicogenomic study

Sabina Halappanavar ¹, Petra Jackson, Andrew Williams, Keld A Jensen, Karin S Hougaard, Ulla Vogel, Carole L Yauk, Håkan Wallin

What cell types can be used to assess SAA3 in vitro?

AOP framework – in vitro inflammation, oxidative stress and cytotoxicity



Halappanavar et al., Small, Volume: 17, Issue: 15, 2021

AOP 33 – previously known as AOP 173

Schematic depicting how oxidative stress and macrophage polarisation connect to the main KEs in the AOP 33 through feedback loop.



Halappanavar, S., et al. (2023), "Substance interaction with the pulmonary resident cell membrane components leading to pulmonary fibrosis", *OECD Series on Adverse Outcome Pathways*, No. 33, OECD Publishing, Paris, <u>https://doi.org/10.1787/1037</u> 2cb8-en

Finding relevant in vitro biomarkers/endpoints/assays



- What cell types and models?
- Tissue organoids?
- How many endpoints?
- Classification efficiency- 2/3, 3/4?

Selection of relevant cell types/biomarkers/endpoints/assays and validation Next steps

- Conduct community-wide survey (through OECD)
 - Seek assay, cell type, biomarker suggestions
 - Establish criteria for consideration
- Explore defined approaches (combination of assays with best predictive capacity)
- Test the approach assess a set of nanomaterials
- Establish class prediction criteria



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AOP 173

Monita Sharma, PETA, USA Amy Clippinger, PETA, USA Silvia Solorio-Rodriguez, HC, Canada Hakan Wallin, NRCWE, Denmark Ulla Vogel, NRCWE, Denmark

Samples, QSAR Ulla Vogel, NRCWE, Denmark Hakan Wallin, NRCWE, Denmark Tomasz Putzyn, University of Gdansk, Poland Karolina Jagiello, University of Gdansk, Poland



ENV/JM/MONO(2020)35 ENV/JM/MONO(2020)34 https://www.oecd.org/ Genomics, Nanotoxicology and Microplastics Toxicology Laboratory, Health Canada, Ottawa, Canada

> Dongmei Wu Luna Rahman, Ph.D. Andrew Williams – Biostatistician Jake Nikota, Ph.D. Sarah Labib, Ph.D. Andrey Boyadhziev

Funding



Chemicals Management Plan Genomics Research and Development Initiative